UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,404	11/20/2003	Mareke Hartig	01-1425	9739
²⁸⁵⁰¹ MICHAEL P. N	7590 09/12/200 MORRIS	EXAMINER		
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM USA CORPORATION			AZPURU, CARLOS A	
	900 RIDGEBURY ROAD P. O. BOX 368 RIDGEFIELD, CT 06877-0368		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RIDGEFIELD,			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/718,404	HARTIG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Carlos A. Azpuru	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Jul</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accention and policinate and polici	r election requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the B				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/052008.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the response, information disclosure statement, and terminal disclaimer filed 06/05/2008.

The rejection under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting is withdrawn in view of the terminal disclaimer filed as noted above.

The following rejection is cited in view of the newly filed information disclosure statement:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 02/36163 (Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma, Inc). in view of US Patent No. 6, 623,760 (Yang et al).

Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma, Inc disclose The use of Tiotropiul salts in an inhalant composition (see Abstract; page 1, lines 32-35). The patent also teaches the presence of particles less than 10 um, for example 0.1 to 5um at page 10, lines 2-3.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,404

Art Unit: 1615

Lactose is disclosed as a carrier material for these particles in the Examples.

Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma, Inc differs only in the lack of discussion concerning the particle distribution, and in particular the fine particle (respirable fraction) content.

Page 3

In a related patent. Yang discloses a particle which may contain Tiotropium as well as other anticholinergics (col. 9, line 2).. Carrier include materials at col. 8, lines 36-46, with Lactose as the preferred carrier material. Yang et al further disclose a particle distribution which may contain particles as desired by well known methods of manufacture (see col. 7, lines 56-67 to col 8, line 36). As such those of ordinary skill would have found it well within their skill to manufacture particulate composition as claimed bearing the claimed particle distribution. Further, surface are is determined by the size of the particles selected and would therefore also be obvious once a particular particle distribution is selected. Therefore, ithe instantly claimed particle composition would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art given the teachings of Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma, Inc which teaches the chemical composition, while Yang et al teaches that particle distribution can be selected according to the desired result and is accomplished by known manufacturing techniques. The instant composition would have therefore been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention given the teachings of Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma, Inc in view of Yang et al. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is (571) 272-0588. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Carlos A. Azpuru/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615

Carlos A. Azpuru Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615

caz